



Ar-Rayah Newspaper

Issue 608 (15 July 2026)

(Translated from Arabic)

[Editorial: Do Reconstruction and Building the Economy Warrant Such Subservience? Page 2](#)

[Feature Article: The Significance of Renewed Hostilities Between the US and Iran Page 7](#)

[O Muslims: Your Enemy Is One Page 12](#)

[A Delegation from Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah Sudan Meets the President of the Sudanese Bar Association and Its Leadership Page 15](#)

[Political Perspectives: Completing the Composition of the Syrian People's Assembly via Presidential Appointments: Shariah Violations and Political Dangers Page 16](#)

[Fourth-Generation Warfare \(4GW\) and the Slow Erosion of the Sudanese State Page 21](#)

[Hosting the NATO Summit in Türkiye: A Betrayal of Allah ﷻ, Islam, and the Muslims Page 27](#)

[Trump Pressures Ahmed al-Sharaa to Confront Iran's Hezb in Lebanon Page 29](#)

[From "Oil-for-Food" to "Cash-for-Nutrition": The Disbelievers' Tool for Engineering Systemic Poverty in Yemen Page 30](#)

[The Cholera Outbreak in Sudan: Causes and Prevention Page 36](#)

[Worsening Situation in Mali Page 40](#)

[No Security, Peace, or Stability Without the Complete Liberation of Palestine Page 43](#)

[O Armed Forces of Pakistan: Your Mission Is Not to Obey and Serve America Page 45](#)

[The Jews Are Occupiers of Muslim Land, and Their Entity Has No Legitimacy There Page 47](#)

What Muslims are experiencing today weakness, division, and the domination of their enemies is a natural consequence of turning away from the Book of Allah ﷻ, neglecting its rulings, and replacing them with man-made systems. Allah ﷻ has promised victory, authority, and honor to those who obey Him, just as He has warned of hardship and distress for those who disobey Him. There is no way to restore strength, unity, and empowerment except through a sincere return to the Book of Allah ﷻ, the resumption of the Islamic way of life according to His guidance, and the implementation of His law in all aspects of life. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿إِنْ تَنْصُرُوا اللَّهَ يَنْصُرْكُمْ وَيُثَبِّتْ أَقْدَامَكُمْ﴾ "If you support Allah through obedience, He will support you and make your steps firm." [TMQ Surah Muhammad: 7].

Do Reconstruction and Building the Economy Warrant Such Subservience?

By: Ustadh Ahmed Al-Qasas*

The French President's visit to Damascus emerged as a significant event that captured the attention of political observers and commentators, marking the first visit by a leader of a major power. The manner of the reception sparked further commentary, criticism, and debate; honoring him with a reception at the Umayyad Masjid—given its profound religious, historical, and civilizational symbolism—came as a shock to many Muslims both inside and outside Syria, particularly given his well-known, brazen hostility—both in word and deed—toward Islam and Muslims.

As is often the case, this conduct by the Syrian authorities was met with a barrage of justifications and rationalizations rooted in the unquestioning acceptance of any decision or action taken by the ruler—based on the premise that the leader knows best what serves the country's policy, and on a culture of blind obedience that is a hallmark of a nation's decline.

One of the primary arguments advanced to justify this reception—which was humiliating and unworthy of the Ummah of the Noble Quran—was that strong, friendly political ties with major powers could contribute to economic recovery and Syria's reconstruction. This was particularly relevant given that the visit's central theme was economic cooperation agreements and French investment. As clearly outlined in the visit's agenda, the key objectives were to bolster economic cooperation and participate

in rebuilding the country. France seeks to play a pivotal role in reconstructing the Syrian infrastructure and civil institutions damaged by the war. Macron was accompanied by a delegation comprising CEOs of major French corporations—such as TotalEnergies in the energy sector and CMA CGM for ports and logistics—to explore investment opportunities and revitalize trade. The discussions also encompassed agreements in the fields of tourism, agriculture, industry, air and maritime transport—including the supply of Airbus aircraft—and banking services. In short, the defining theme of this visit is Macron’s haste to secure a share for his country and its investment firms in the anticipated reconstruction and investment drive in Syria—a process where the ultimate decision-making power lies with the United States. Political forecasts for the Eastern Mediterranean—particularly Ash-Sham—envision a massive investment undertaking that promises to become a vast goldmine, or rather a collection of financial bonanzas, whetting the appetites of the corporate giants that dominate major capitalist nations and their policies.

Although the visit’s agenda extended beyond economics and investment, this article focuses on the economic aspect, as it is the visit’s most prominent theme and the primary pretext cited by its cheerleaders, apologists, and proponents.

To begin with, it must be reiterated that an Ummah carrying the Risaalah message of Allah ﷻ to His servants must never place material economic gains at the top of its list of priorities. What rightfully occupies the pinnacle of that list is the ideology—Islam itself. In other words, it is the supremacy of the Shariah over the Ummah, its state, and its policies. Under no circumstances may any part of Islam’s sovereignty or its Shariah within society and the state be compromised for the sake of material gains—no

matter how substantial—despite the undeniable importance of the economy as the lifeblood of society. A requirement that follows immediately after the supremacy of Shariah—ranking next in the hierarchy of priorities for the Ummah and the state—is the authority of the Ummah over its state, territory, and assets. This entails that the Ummah’s political, security, economic, and cultural decision-making remains exclusively its own; foreigners must have absolutely no avenue to infringe upon the state’s sovereignty or its authority over its land and political decisions. Allah ﷻ says, ﴿وَلَنْ يَجْعَلَ اللَّهُ لِلْكَافِرِينَ عَلَى الْمُؤْمِنِينَ سَبِيلًا﴾ **“And Allah does not permit the disbelievers authority over the believers.”** [TMQ Surah An-Nisaa: 141]. As mufasiroon (Quranic commentators) have explained, this means Allah ﷻ forbids the disbelievers from having authority or dominion over the believers.

Beyond the fact that Islam prioritizes the supremacy of Shariah—not the economy or anything else—the notion celebrated by many, that relations transgressing Shariah’s boundaries will lead to national economic revival, is a sheer delusion. It betrays an ignorance of politics, economics, and Shariah alike. The economic path pursued by the Syrian authorities does not yield economic security. Instead, it renders Syria’s economy—and consequently the entire country—hostage to foreign will. To grasp this point, one must clarify two contrasting political concepts that, regrettably, elude most Muslims in Syria and across the Muslim World due to a lack of political and economic awareness, alongside a weakness in Islamic knowledge. These two concepts are: a dependency-based economy and an independent economy.

A dependency-based economy relies on foreign entities, tethering its economic destiny to foreign nations or institutions. It subjects

the state to the dictates of major powers or international financial institutions—such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF)—and to the conditions they impose for granting loans or aid. Such an economy is often exposed or undiversified, relying on the export of raw materials alone. More critically, major foreign corporations often control the extraction and export of these resources, repatriating profits and marginalizing the local workforce. This dependent economy imports most of its other needs—including vital supplies—leaving it hostage to global market fluctuations and the political pressures of exporting nations. Furthermore, its local currency is often rigidly pegged to a foreign currency, such as the US dollar, or it suffers from hyperinflation and a continuous loss of value driven by *riba* (interest) debt.

In contrast, an independent economy relies on independent state decision-making and the achievement of self-sufficiency. The state possesses full authority to formulate its fiscal and monetary policies free from foreign pressure or dictates. It is characterized by economic diversity—spanning industry, agriculture, and technology—to minimize reliance on imports of basic goods and foodstuffs. It prioritizes self-sufficiency in strategic commodities—such as wheat, pharmaceuticals, and energy—to safeguard national security against sanctions or political volatility. Additionally, it manages natural resources, including raw materials and energy sources like oil, gas, and minerals, using local expertise, directing them toward domestic development and the construction of robust infrastructure.

If there is a temporary need to utilize foreign expertise, the state should contract for such services for a specific period in exchange for a fee, rather than granting extraction and sales concessions

that leave the Ummah's wealth open to foreign plunder. Furthermore, an independent economy possesses an independent currency—which, under Shariah Law, consists of gold and silver, or banknotes that truly represent them, whereby each currency unit equals a fixed, specific weight of gold or silver. This currency is backed by real production and diversified reserves, granting it resilience against crises.

Beyond the necessity of adopting a sovereign economy, states that are currently at war—or face the imminent threat of war—must adopt a “war economy.” They must not jeopardize their people, land, and wealth by relying on a “peacetime economy” this is a separate dichotomy that warrants its own dedicated article.

Regrettably, the economic approach currently observed in the “New Syria” is one of dependency—far removed from an independent economy. While we remain in a state of perpetual war with the usurping Jewish entity—a state of conflict that must not cease—Syria's ruler is pursuing plans completely at odds with a war economy. This indicates that he envisions a near future for the region in which the state of war with the usurping entity no longer exists; instead, it would become a “natural neighbor” whose economy integrates with that of Syria and the rest of the region. This is precisely what America is planning—and indeed, actively implementing.

* Member of the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir

The Significance of Renewed Hostilities Between the US and Iran

By: Asaad Mansour

The US renewed its attacks on Iran on July 12, 2026. US Central Command (CENTCOM) announced that this third round of strikes in a single week followed a brazen attack by Iran's Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC) on a container ship transiting the Strait of Hormuz; the US claimed to have struck 140 Iranian military targets.

Meanwhile, the IRGC announced that it had "hit two ships, closed the Strait until further notice—and until US interventions in the region cease—and shelled a US military base in Qatar."

The US had previously launched strikes against 170 Iranian sites on July 7 and 8, 2026. US CENTCOM stated that these attacks were in retaliation for Iranian strikes on three commercial vessels in the Strait of Hormuz, while Iran announced it had responded by attacking US bases and interests in Arab countries.

Despite this—and despite US President Trump's declaration that the ceasefire was over—he stated that he remained open to continuing negotiations. On July 11, 2026, he stated, "The Islamic Republic of Iran has asked us to continue 'talks.' We have agreed to do so, but the United States has stated to them, in no uncertain terms, that the Cease Fire is OVER!" The US is acting like someone holding a gun to the other party's head to force a signature!

Meanwhile, Iranian Parliament Speaker and chief negotiator Ghalibaf stated, “The Strait of Hormuz will only be opened under Iranian arrangements, not due to US threats,” whilst Iran seeks to impose customs duties or service fees on the vessels. It appears that the US wants Iran to open the Strait of Hormuz unconditionally, as no details regarding this matter were included in the Memorandum of Understanding.

It follows that negotiations over the Memorandum’s 14 points will be long and arduous, fraught with disagreements over details and implementation; the process is a minefield where an explosion could occur at any moment. This is because the US’s goal in signing the Memorandum is to turn Iran into a subordinate vassal state—an objective it failed to achieve through the forty-day war launched on February 28, 2026, which claimed the lives of 40 senior Iranian leaders, including the Supreme Leader. Consequently, the US announced a halt to hostilities on April 8, 2026, and expressed readiness to resume negotiations, culminating in the electronic signing of the Memorandum on June 18, 2026, by Presidents Trump and Pezeshkian.

Iran’s signing of the Memorandum and its participation in negotiations aimed at a final agreement—bringing it back into the US orbit after a four-month rift—represents a significant concession, especially given that it had held firm throughout that period without yielding any gains to the US.

However, it is evident that the US will not be satisfied with this alone, as its ultimate goal remains subjugating Iran. It will likely exert pressure through military strikes, the reimposition of sanctions, stalling the release of frozen assets, and employing evasive tactics regarding the implementation of the Memorandum's terms. Yet, it is unlikely to abandon the

Memorandum—which represents a major achievement—or the negotiations themselves. Thus, behind every act of aggression, the US signals a desire to resume talks and mobilizes intermediaries, its agents, to that end; notably, Qatar dispatched a delegation to Iran on June 10, 2026. Pakistan took action; its Foreign Minister contacted his Iranian counterpart, urging Iran to “de-escalate and exercise restraint”—meaning it should not retaliate against US attacks or interfere with ships traversing the Strait of Hormuz. He emphasized that “dialogue and diplomacy remain the only viable path to resolving disputes and achieving lasting peace and stability in the region.” Meanwhile, the Iranian Foreign Minister visited the Sultanate of Oman and wrote on X (formerly Twitter) on July 11, 2026, “Iran has kept its word so far, unlike the so-called US Treasury Secretary, who is violating Paragraph 9 of the Memorandum of Understanding”—which stipulates that the US must refrain from imposing new sanctions on Iran—after new sanctions were imposed on 14 individuals and one entity.

On July 11, 2026, The Wall Street Journal revealed that Iran had informed the US that the firing on commercial vessels in the Strait of Hormuz was a mistake, and called on the US to continue negotiations. It appears that Iranian politicians are conveying one message to the US—driven by their goal to continue negotiations and align with the US—while the military commanders of the Revolutionary Guard are conveying a different message; the latter deliberately strike ships to derail this process as they strive for independence from the US. Indeed, following this revelation, the Revolutionary Guard struck two more ships.

Iran’s Supreme Leader, Mojtaba Khamenei, announced his agreement with the politicians regarding the Memorandum of

Understanding and the continuation of negotiations. However, the Revolutionary Guard remained silent only reluctantly; they oppose this course of action and seek independence. It is as if the Supreme Leader is striking a balance between the two sides; hence, in a written message published on July 11, 2026, he stated, “We pledge to avenge your pure blood and the blood of all the martyrs of these two wars against the criminal and disgraced killers,” claiming that “soon individuals among the freedom-seekers across the world will each carry out part of this divine mission.” This statement came amidst the funeral and burial proceedings for the Supreme Leader in the city of Mashhad. His father—the previous Supreme Leader—had made similar remarks when the US killed Quds Force commander Qassem Soleimani and other Iranian leaders in 2020.

This message does not signal an intention to reject the Memorandum of Understanding or abandon negotiations. Instead, it serves to vent anger, demand revenge, reassure the domestic audience, and reaffirm the regime’s unwavering principles.

Trump responded on July 11, 2026, stating, “1000 Missiles are Locked and Loaded and aimed at the Islamic Republic of Iran, with thousands of more to immediately follow, should the Iranian Government act on its threat, pronounced in many corners of the Globe, to assassinate, or attempt to assassinate, the sitting President of the United States of America, in this case, ME! Orders have already been given, and the U.S. Military is ready, willing, and able, for a one year period of time, subject to extension, to completely decimate and destroy all areas of Iran.” He dismissed the Iranian threats as nothing new and noted that the Jewish entity had not provided any new information—

comments made following reports of intelligence shared by the Jewish entity with the US. It is worth noting that Trump had previously threatened Iran in April 2026 if an agreement was not reached, stating “A whole civilization will die tonight, never to be brought back again. I don’t want that to happen, but it probably will. However, now that we have Complete and Total Regime Change, where different, smarter, and less radicalized minds prevail, maybe something revolutionarily wonderful can happen, WHO KNOWS?”

The current political situation indicates a desire on the part of both Iran and the United States to maintain the Memorandum of Understanding and continue negotiations until a final agreement is reached—even as the U.S. persists in exerting maximum pressure to achieve that outcome. Conversely, the Revolutionary Guard attempts to obstruct the process, acting as if it holds the ultimate decision-making power by firing on ships and closing the strait.

O Muslims: Your Enemy Is One



A press release issued by the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir said: What draws the attention of careful observers and analysts when examining the major crises across the Muslim lands is that the enemy of the Muslims in all of them is one: America. In some of these crises, it is joined by its protégé, the usurping Jewish entity. Among the earliest of these cases is Iraq, which America fought in the early 1990s before occupying it in 2003, and which continues to suffer under its domination. Then there is Afghanistan, occupied by America in 2001, followed by Syria, where America employed all of its tools to abort its blessed revolution against its agent, Bashar al-Assad. Then comes Yemen, where America competes with Britain for control by supporting the Houthis through its agent, the Saudi regime. Then Sudan, where America ignited a devastating internal war between its two agents—the commander of the army and the commander of the Rapid Support Forces—to prevent Britain from gaining control

over Sudan. Then Iran, which was attacked by America and its protégé, the Jewish entity, in June 2025, and again at the end of February 2026. Before all of these stands Palestine and Lebanon, where everything that the usurping Jewish entity has done—and continues to do—is carried out with American military, political, and media support, while America gives it free rein to kill, destroy, and seize one piece of land after another.

The release added: Yet despite all of this, the rulers of the Muslims continue to rush toward negotiations with America, or negotiations under its patronage. They also offer mediation on behalf of America and the Jewish entity, while providing them with money and granting them access to Muslim lands, waters, and airspace. So what are they negotiating and mediating for? Is it to strengthen America's grip and that of its protégé, the Jewish entity, over the Muslim lands? Or is it to provide political cover for their crimes?

The release further stressed: O Muslims, the condition to which you have fallen would never have come about had you possessed one state under one Caliphate (Khalifah). You reached this state only after the disappearance of the authority of Islam through the destruction of the Khilafah State, the fragmentation of your lands into weak statelets, and the domination of insignificant rulers over your necks. These rulers, like your enemies, observe neither kinship nor covenant toward you. They respect neither your sanctity nor your blood, your land, or your wealth. Their sole concern is preserving their crooked thrones, even if doing so requires sacrificing your lives, your blood, your land, and your wealth. How much longer, O Muslims, will this condition of humiliation and disgrace continue?

O Muslims, you alone possess the correct ideology from which emerges the correct system to solve the bitter reality in which you live, and to solve the problems of the entire world with justice and fairness. Therefore, be aware—truly aware—and devote yourselves with sincere sincerity to Allah ﷻ, for you have no strength without these two qualities. Indeed, incapacity is the incapacity of judgment, not the incapacity of ability. As the poet said: The one whose judgment is weak wastes his opportunities, until, when the matter has passed him by, he blames destiny.

So resolve your affairs, hasten to cast aside your insignificant rulers who have led you into destruction, and hasten to work with Hizb ut Tahrir to unify your lands under the Rayah banner of a single Khalifah Rashid (Rightly Guided Caliph), behind whom you fight and by whom you are protected. Thus you will preserve your lands, protect your lives, spare your blood, and safeguard your wealth. Victory comes only from Allah, and Allah ﷻ grants victory to those who support His cause.

﴿إِنْ يَنْصُرْكُمُ اللَّهُ فَلَا غَالِبَ لَكُمْ وَإِنْ يَخْذُلْكُمْ فَمَنْ ذَا الَّذِي يَنْصُرُكُمْ مِنْ بَعْدِهِ وَعَلَى اللَّهِ فَلْيَتَوَكَّلِ الْمُؤْمِنُونَ﴾

**“If Allah should aid you, no one can overcome you; but if He should forsake you, who is there that can aid you after Him?
And upon Allah let the believers rely.” [Aal’Imran:160]**

A Delegation from Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah Sudan Meets the President of the Sudanese Bar Association and Its Leadership

On Thursday, 9/7/2026, a delegation from Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah Sudan, headed by Ustadh Nasir Ridha Muhammad Othman, Head of the Central Communications Committee of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Sudan, and accompanied by Ustadh Abdullah Ismail, Member of the Central Communications Committee of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Sudan, and Ustadh Yaqoub Ibrahim, Members of Hizb ut Tahrir, paid a pre-arranged visit to the office of the Sudanese Bar Association in the city of Port Sudan.

The delegation met with the President of the Sudanese Bar Association, Ustadh Lawyer Zain al-Abidin Muhammad Hamad, who was accompanied by:

1. Ustadh Lawyer Jamal al-Din al-Nujoumi, Member of the Human Rights Services Committee.
2. Ustadh Lawyer Imad al-Din Abdul Khaliq, Head of Mobilization and Martyrs' Affairs.
3. Dr. Lawyer Salah al-Mubarak, Head of Human Rights at the Bar Association.
4. Dr. Lawyer Omar Al-Sayyid Omar, Head of University Affairs at the Bar Association.
5. Ustadh Lawyer Issam al-Din Othman, Member of the Office of the President of the Bar Association.

After the welcoming remarks, Ustadh Nasir introduced Hizb ut Tahrir and explained its objective, which it strives to achieve together with the Ummah: the resumption of the Islamic way of life through the establishment of the second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the method of the Prophethood. He stated that this objective should be the objective of every Muslim, since working to implement the Shariah of Allah and establish authority on the basis of Islam is an obligation upon all Muslims. Ustadh Nasir supported his remarks with Islamic evidences.

This was followed by positive interventions from those present. The President of the Sudanese Bar Association then thanked the delegation for its visit and for its concern regarding the issues of the Ummah. He concluded his remarks by stating that there was no disagreement with Hizb ut Tahrir regarding the issue of the Khilafah (Caliphate), which is the objective of every Muslim.

At the conclusion of the meeting, both sides affirmed the importance of maintaining communication.

Completing the Composition of the Syrian People's Assembly via Presidential Appointments: Shariah Violations and Political Dangers

By: Ali Mustafa Al-Bakri*

On July 1, 2026, the composition of the Syrian People's Assembly was finalized through the issuance of presidential appointments covering seventy of the 210 members. The remaining two-thirds of the members had been selected via local electoral bodies appointed by the transitional government—rather than through direct elections—in accordance with the 2025 Constitutional Declaration governing legislative authority during the transitional period. This selection mechanism, as well as the appointment of certain members, sparked widespread discontent, particularly among the general public.

However, the most critical issue requiring attention is that this People's Assembly—and others like it—is a product of the democratic system, which vests the right to legislate in the people. Members of such assemblies ratify the constitution and laws presented to them; this constitutes opposition to the Shariah of Allah ﷻ and His ruling governance, as it involves choosing man-made laws or ratifying legislation submitted to the assembly. All arguments advanced to justify this—citing public interest, the “lesser of two evils,” and the like—hold no weight according to Shariah. Were immense sacrifices made and pure blood shed merely to entrench a man-made system that incurs

the Wrath of Allah ﷻ? Or were these sacrifices offered for the sake of Allah ﷻ, and to establish His ruling governance—Glory be to Him—upon the earth?

Furthermore, in Muslim countries, these assemblies are essentially facades for a sham democracy. Their function is to rubber-stamp the policies of rulers who have placed themselves at the service of colonialist powers to advance the latter's interests; they serve merely to burnish the rulers' image and create the illusion that their legitimacy derives from the consent of the people. The presence of structures or figures known for their Islamic orientation within these assemblies does not alter their fundamental nature—even if they oppose the laws, treaties, or agreements submitted for ratification—because such measures are ultimately approved by a majority vote.

More dangerous still is that these members have consented to position themselves as arbiters over the Shariah rulings of Allah ﷻ, deciding which are suitable and which are not!

One of the most perilous policies is the pursuit of foreign support and the approval of major powers, while turning one's back on the revolutionary base that proved its effectiveness during times of crisis and hardship. The immediate consequence of this policy is a betrayal of the slogans, goals, and fundamental principles championed prior to the fall of the defunct criminal regime. The painful outcome is the preservation of the old regime's structure—when it should have been radically transformed—instead of implementing a system that pleases Allah ﷻ, with a constitution and laws derived solely from Islamic Aqeedah (doctrine), without regard for any other consideration.

Major political catastrophes resulting from the policy of submission to America—which harbors deep hostility toward

Islam and Muslims—are looming. These have already become clearly evident in the decision by the current transitional administration in Damascus, on November 10, 2025, to join the international coalition against “terrorism.” This includes agreeing to US-sponsored security negotiations with the Jewish entity to establish a communication channel aimed at avoiding military friction at the border and de-escalating tensions, while ignoring—and failing to respond to—that entity’s aggressions and incursions into Syrian territory, all under the pretext of avoiding a “losing war,” as they claim. Critical issues await ratification by the upcoming People’s Assembly. The role of its members will amount to little more than acting as false witnesses before the public; they will be used to deceive the people and public opinion into believing that the outcome reflects the “will of the people,” rather than the demands of the state and its rulers, driven by American pressure.

Among these critical political issues slated for Assembly ratification are the constitutional declaration, the entrenchment of a man-made constitution, and the preservation of the republican form of government—all of which run counter to the demands of the people of the Levant during their revolution for the establishment of a purely Islamic ruling governance.

Another grave issue is the matter of concluding agreements with the Jewish entity, recognizing it, and acknowledging its usurpation of our land and sanctities. We call upon all sincere individuals to oppose and categorically reject this, and to prepare to prevent it before it happens. The Jews occupy our lands, and a confrontation with them is inevitable; we must be fully prepared for it, without being misled by the pacifying promises marketed to us.

While the administration of the transitional phase has currently tempered its push to sign agreements with the Jewish entity, this is merely a temporary measure. The choice to pursue a policy of subservience and appeasement toward America hinders the ability to make independent decisions based on the country's actual interests.

Furthermore, the agreement signed between Lebanon and the Jewish entity on June 26, 2026—which serves as a political and security framework aimed at ending the confrontation, granting normalizing recognition, and paving the way for a broader settlement—sets a precedent that will likely be replicated in Syria, driven by American pressure and regional complicity. The country's wealth is a coveted prize and a target for the United States and its corporations, which seek to seize control by securing concessions and pushing the nation toward free-market policies and privatization. This requires the People's Assembly—in its capacity as the legislative authority—to enact enabling laws and ratify relevant agreements, thereby placing our resources and wealth in the hands of our enemies. Furthermore, this serves as a gateway for imposing American hegemony across all sectors, paving the way for entrenched, absolute dependency and making it increasingly difficult to break free.

The dangers and challenges confronting us are immense. The solution lies not in cementing dependency or adopting man-made systems of governance, but in establishing the ruling governance ordained by Allah ﷻ—the ruling governance of Islam. Through it alone can we resolve all our problems and attain honor, victory, and happiness in both this world and the Hereafter.

*** Head of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Syria**

Fourth-Generation Warfare (4GW) and the Slow Erosion of the Sudanese State

By: Ustadh Nasser Reda



When logic fails and rational argument is absent, and when individuals are unable to secure or safeguard their rights, they resort to force. This is how wars arise among humans, whether as individuals, groups, or nations, even as the means and instruments of warfare undergo significant transformation.

Public international law defines war as an armed conflict between two or more parties, specifically between distinct states, during which each state defends its interests, objectives, and rights. War has thus been an integral part of the history of nations and peoples. With the evolution of methods, tactics, and technology, the patterns and forms of warfare have shifted, giving rise to new terminology; most notably, the concept of generations has been adopted to distinguish between the tools,

management, domains, and types of warfare. Each generation denotes a specific era characterized by a particular mode of combat involving specific means and methods. Among these is the concept of “first-generation warfare,” also known as Napoleonic warfare, which emerged in 1648, the year the Peace of Westphalia was signed.

Second-generation warfare emerged following the Industrial Revolution, which introduced diverse firepower options through steam technology and mass production, alongside advancements in weapon and artillery design. This type of warfare relies on wearing down the enemy until collapse; prime examples include World War I and the American Civil War.

Third-generation warfare did not differ significantly from its predecessors, though it was far more brutal and destructive, World War II being the quintessential example.

The most dangerous and insidious form of warfare is known as “fourth-generation warfare.” This term describes a new paradigm aimed at weakening an adversary through unconventional means, avoiding direct combat in favor of media manipulation, psychological warfare, rumors, propaganda, political influence, the creation of irregular armed groups, and the exploitation of societal divisions.

The term first appeared in 1989 in an article published by the “Marine Corps Gazette” titled “The Changing Face of War - Into The Fourth Generation (4GQ)” by William S. Lind. In 2006, retired Colonel Thomas X. Hammes USMC expanded on the concept in his book “The Sling and the Stone: On War in the 21st Century.” He characterized fourth-generation warfare as complex, protracted, and reliant on terrorizing the population. It is decentralized, shifting from location to location, and

multinational in scope, often involving acts of genocide and the violation of personal sanctity within a guerrilla warfare context. Furthermore, it signifies the nation-state's loss of its near-monopoly on combat forces, marking a regression to a pre-modern era, and aims to destroy states from within by toppling institutions and sowing chaos.

As the war in Sudan enters its fourth year, it serves as a practical case study illustrating the concepts presented in a lecture delivered on December 1, 2018, by Dr. Max G. Manwaring, a military strategy expert at the U.S. Army War College's Strategic Studies Institute. Attended by senior officers from NATO and the military of the Jewish entity, the lecture argued that conventional warfare had become obsolete, giving way to "fourth-generation warfare." Manwaring defined this as "coercive warfare aimed at causing the collapse and destabilization of an enemy state, followed by the imposition of a new reality that serves and secures our interests." He explained that the objective is a process of slow yet steady attrition and erosion. This begins with destabilization carried out by local actors, whom he later described as fierce and ruthless indigenous fighters, such as the Rapid Support Forces militia in Sudan, and involves the gradual, quiet destruction of infrastructure, including electricity, water supplies, hospitals, and educational institutions, until the enemy "wakes up dead."

When asked why a strategy of exhaustion and slow erosion was chosen instead of toppling the state in a single blow, he replied, "If we were to topple the state all at once, its infrastructure and the foundations for its recovery would remain intact. Slow erosion, however, creates a failed state through the gradual destruction of cities and by turning the population into

wandering herds and lines of displaced persons. This paralyzes the state's ability to meet basic needs, effectively turning the resulting shortages into another facet of the war, and uses displacement camps to foster a new culture. Furthermore, these shortages generate public opposition to the government; the lack or total absence of essential services drives people to flee their cities and villages. This operates through a mechanism of shifting the conflict from one area to another, extinguishing the fire in one city only to ignite it in another, thereby draining the state's capabilities."

He adds, "In wars like this, you will see dead children, the elderly, and lines of displaced and homeless people; you must not be disturbed by this. We must press straight toward the goal." He concluded by saying, "We must manage the crisis, not solve it."

Anyone following the war in Sudan finds it a prime example of "fourth-generation warfare," encompassing all its associated methods and tools. The media war waged across various platforms proved even more ferocious than the actual fighting on the ground. Meanwhile, so-called civil society organizations play a significant role in the conflict under the guise of supporting women and youth through training and funding; in reality, these activities serve to groom operatives within the state to carry out foreign agendas. Indeed, many government ministers and officials emerged from the programs and organizations in question.

The consequences have been devastating: over 160,000 people killed and tens of thousands wounded or injured. Educational institutions have been destroyed or converted into military barracks and shelters, leaving millions of students out of school. More than 40% of healthcare facilities are out of service, and

critical infrastructure, including electricity, water systems, bridges, and roads, has suffered massive destruction; the power sector alone has seen 60% of its infrastructure ruined, and key bridges in Khartoum have been struck. All this is compounded by mass displacement, the refugee crisis, and widespread poverty. This is the senseless war ignited by the United States, a conflict that, from day one, Washington predicted would be protracted and incapable of a military resolution.

Therefore, we must be fully aware of this vile method of warfare adopted by America in Muslim lands and focus on upholding the pillars of society in accordance with Sharia rulings, so as to thwart the conspiracies and machinations of the disbelieving West. These measures are as follows:

1. Prohibiting and criminalizing military militias, and rejecting demands pursued through armed action.
2. Prohibiting ethnic, nationalist and sub-nationalist rhetoric and criminalizing tribal partisanship, while eliminating anything that fosters racial discrimination, whether based on tribalism, nationalism or regionalism.
3. Prohibiting drug use and criminalizing drug trafficking, recognizing them as tools to destroy the youth and undermine society's highest values.
4. Prohibiting and criminalizing the entry of foreign non-Muslim organizations, foremost among them feminist and gender-ideology groups, as well as aid organizations.
5. Prohibiting and criminalizing any ties between political parties or individuals and Western embassies, and regarding anyone who frequents them with suspicion.

6. Refusing to allow Western powers to interfere in our affairs; Allah ﷻ says: ﴿يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا لَا تَتَّخِذُوا عَدُوِّي وَعَدُوَّكُمْ أَوْلِيَاءَ﴾ **“O believers! Do not take My enemies and yours as trusted allies,”** [TMQ Surah Al-Mumtahanah, 60:1] and He ﷻ said: ﴿إِنَّ الْكَافِرِينَ كَانُوا لَكُمْ عَدُوًّا مُّبِينًا﴾ **“Indeed, the disbelievers are your sworn enemies”** [TMQ Surah An-Nisa, 4:101].
7. Working earnestly with Hizb ut Tahrir to establish the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate), as it is the guardian of the Muslims and the Shariah method for ruling by Islam.

Head of the Central Contact Committee of Hizb ut Tahrir in the Wilayah of Sudan

Hosting the NATO Summit in Türkiye: A Betrayal of Allah ﷻ, Islam, and the Muslims



The 36th NATO Summit was held in Türkiye's capital, Ankara, on 7–8 July 2026. Türkiye hosted this historic event for the second time, with the summit's activities and meetings taking place at the Presidential Complex.

A press release issued by the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Türkiye stated: hosting the leaders of NATO member states, the alliance of occupation, on Ankara soil is a betrayal to Allah, Islam, and Muslims. Furthermore, we do not want Trump or NATO on our land, and we categorically reject cooperation with the disbelievers. NATO was founded in 1949 and remained throughout the Cold War a collective alliance protecting America and Western countries against the Soviet Union. For over forty years, this alliance did not undertake any military intervention

against the Soviet Union, but after 1990, it changed its concept of threat, making the Middle East and Muslim lands its target. Its military operations and occupations of Bosnia, Kosovo, Afghanistan, Iraq, Libya, Somalia, and other Muslim lands constitute clear evidence of its true objectives thereafter. Therefore, Türkiye's hosting of the NATO summit in Istanbul in 2004, while Afghanistan and Iraq were under occupation, was a great disgrace. Repeating this betrayal twenty-two years later is not a source of pride for the rulers, but rather a source of humiliation and disgrace.

The release further explained: The reason for the great importance United States and Western countries attach to Türkiye is their desire to use it as a buffer zone to protect themselves from the dangers that threaten them. Therefore, Türkiye, which possesses the second largest army in NATO, should use its military strength, manpower, population, strategic location, and achievements in defense industries not to protect Western kuffar, but to protect Muslims.

Trump Pressures Ahmed al-Sharaa to Confront Iran's Hezb in Lebanon

U.S. President Trump publicly stated that Ahmed al-Sharaa would be more effective than the Jewish entity in confronting Iran's Hezb in Lebanon. He also criticized the military campaign carried out by the Jewish entity against Iran's Hezb, arguing that it had caused heavy human losses and had continued for too long. He suggested that the Syrian forces might be able to deal with this threat in a more precise manner.

Ar-Rayah: By pushing Syria into confronting Iran's Hezb in Lebanon, America is once again reshuffling the cards, searching among its instruments for a solution while draining whatever strength remains in the region. This is one of the oldest methods employed by colonial powers: divide, delegate, and then destroy. This strategy succeeds time and again because, as long as Muslims remain preoccupied with fighting one another, they are incapable of confronting their real enemy, while America minimizes its own losses and those of its allies, whom it considers too valuable to sacrifice.

The path before the Islamic Ummah is clear: it lies in rejecting the sectarian and nationalist divisions that have been sown among its people and in refusing every form of foreign intervention aimed at weakening and exploiting it. The enemy must therefore be confronted as one unified front, rather than allowing it to ignite conflict among Muslims, shed their blood, and weaken their resolve. The establishment of the Khilafah (Caliphate) alone is capable of removing these divisions and realizing the collective strength and potential of the Ummah.

From “Oil-for-Food” to “Cash-for-Nutrition”: The Disbelievers’ Tool for Engineering Systemic Poverty in Yemen

By: Ustadh Abboud Al-Faqih – Wilayah Yemen



Afrah Al-Zouba, Minister of Planning and International Cooperation and Yemen’s Governor to the World Bank Group, stated officially that the World Bank has approved funding for the “Cash-for-Nutrition and Livelihoods” project in Yemen. The package includes a \$100 million grant, plus an additional \$1.8 million allocated to support the country’s resilience and foster recovery and reconstruction (Russia Today).

Behind these polished diplomatic phrases and so-called financial grants lies a reality starkly different from the official narrative. The announcement of this project is not a humanitarian gesture to alleviate suffering. Instead, it is the latest chapter in a strategy to cement dependency and tighten the colonial grip on the

resources of nations ravaged by conflict and war. Yemen is no exception to this malicious policy—a policy mastered by the World Bank, which acts as an obedient tool in the hands of major capitalist powers, led by the United States. The US controls international monetary policies, using them as a “soft power” weapon to bring states to their knees and subjugate the political decision-making of developing countries. All this occurs behind a façade of glittering slogans promising development and reconstruction, while the actual objective is the engineering of poverty and the perpetuation of economic dependency.

Indeed, the “Cash-for-Nutrition and Livelihoods” project cannot be viewed in isolation from the long colonialist legacy of international institutions. This concept immediately brings to mind the infamous “Oil-for-Food” program imposed on Iraq in the 1990s; the core idea remains the same, even if the methods and names differ. The primary objective is to transform free peoples and resource-rich states into dependent, consumerist societies that rely on the crumbs of aid for day-to-day survival, rather than empowering them to build a genuine, independent economy that commands its own sovereignty and production decisions.

In short, the concept of “cash-for-nutrition” ties a person’s livelihood and survival to the flow of funds from the West via the World Bank and its affiliated institutions. This devious approach neither builds an economy nor fosters resilience—as is often claimed—but rather entrenches structural dependency. It turns hunger into a political weapon held over people’s heads to force through political agendas and sovereignty-eroding concessions that populations would never accept were they economically and politically healthy.

Beneath the details of this concept and its systematic relief mechanisms, the humanitarian mask becomes clearly visible; the program is ostensibly marketed as a flexible alternative to traditional in-kind aid, aiming to curb food insecurity and malnutrition by providing direct cash assistance. This allows beneficiaries to purchase fresh food suited to their needs from local markets. The approach targets specific groups—such as pregnant and nursing mothers to ensure proper nutrition, and children under two to prevent stunting and malnutrition during this critical developmental window—as well as female-headed households, which face greater challenges in securing adequate food. Its support mechanisms rely on conditional cash transfers—providing funds to mothers in exchange for their attendance at health and nutrition awareness sessions or medical check-ups—or on food vouchers that can be redeemed for basic foodstuffs at stores contracted by humanitarian organizations. Yet, beneath this technical detail lies a political strategy: a form of “soft engineering” designed to manage hunger rather than eradicate it, and a means of tethering the community's daily life to the dictates of donors.

The World Bank: An Instrument of Economic Plunder and Destruction

The primary purpose for which the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) were established after World War II was never the development of developing nations or the rescue of the poor; rather, their role has always been to engineer an international system that serves the interests of major powers. The World Bank's strategy focuses on a systematic plan to dismantle national economic infrastructures through so-called “structural adjustment programs” and conditional grants. These

impose destructive mandates that eliminate any possibility of self-reliance.

The destructive activities of these international financial institutions can be summarized in a few key points, clearly evident in their dealings with nations across the globe:

- * Trade liberalization and currency exchange deregulation: This entails lifting all import restrictions, leading to the flooding of local markets with foreign goods. Consequently, local industries and products lose their competitiveness and rapidly face bankruptcy, while state treasuries are depleted of foreign currency reserves and gold.

- * Devaluation of the local currency: This systematic measure benefits only major industrial nations—which purchase the developing country’s wealth and raw materials at rock-bottom prices—while domestically causing a collapse in purchasing power and plunging the state into a terrifying inflationary spiral driven by excessive money printing unsupported by real productive output.

- * Strict austerity programs and wage freezes: These include restricting domestic lending, raising interest rates, slashing government spending on essential services, increasing taxes and fees for communal utilities, —such as electricity, healthcare, and education— freezing public sector wages, and eliminating subsidies on consumer goods. Such measures drive economic recession and fuel internal unrest.

- * Falling into a systematic riba debt trap: Industrialized nations entice Third World countries to borrow, with funds often used to finance consumer imports or channeled into non-productive, frivolous, or illusory projects. When repayment falls due and the

state defaults, the IMF and the World Bank intervene to impose debt rescheduling terms that increase financial burdens and compound interest. Ultimately, the debt becomes a pretext for directly seizing the country's independent assets, selling off its holdings, and entrenching national bankruptcy.

This developmental failure is not accidental. Instead, it is an intended outcome of the capitalist international order. A 1991 World Bank study covering fifty developing nations yielded a shocking result: countries that did not adopt IMF and World Bank programs achieved economic growth, whereas none of the countries that adopted them did so. This confirms that these programs are merely prescriptions poised to engineer destruction and poverty.

Yemen in the eye of a systematic storm

In the case of Yemen, this \$101.8 million project serves merely to pull the wool over people's eyes—an attempt to pacify a stricken population. A country possessing immense wealth and a unique strategic location could achieve complete self-sufficiency if governed by the correct principles of statecraft; yet, the aim today is to keep it held captive by the meager crumbs tossed to it by the disbelieving, colonialist West.

The World Bank does not move to rescue the humanitarian situation out of moral concern, but rather to ensure Yemen remains under the umbrella of the capitalist international order and to prevent any genuine move toward economic liberation from Western hegemony. Tying recovery and reconstruction to the oversight and management of these international institutions effectively mortgages the country's future for years to come. It makes reconstruction conditional upon accepting colonialist political solutions and keeping the nation in a state of perpetual

weakness, allowing major international powers to carve up the spoils and benefits—much like the fox distributing the prey in the famous Arabic fable of the lion, the wolf, and the fox, where the weak gain nothing but loss.

In conclusion, the Shariah obligation upon the young men and women of the Ummah is to expose these critical truths, unmask the malicious colonialist policies—disguised as capitalist humanitarian aid—sever the hand of the disbelieving colonizer and its instruments, and establish the Second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate). They must realize that the “Cash for Nutrition and Livelihoods” project is nothing more than a tool to entrench poverty and dependency, and to indirectly plunder the will of the people and the future of generations. We reiterate that true and final salvation never comes through the toxic grants and loans of the World Bank. Instead, it is achieved by severing the hand of foreign interference—in all its political and economic forms—restoring the Ummah’s full independence and decision-making authority, and implementing the Islamic system—the just, divinely ordained order. This system guarantees the genuine care of human affairs, ensures the equitable distribution of wealth, and puts an end to long decades of dependency and the humiliation inflicted by brutal, colonialist capitalism.

The Cholera Outbreak in Sudan: Causes and Prevention

By: Yaqoub Ibrahim – Wilayah Sudan



The World Health Organization (WHO) has recorded 120 deaths and 1,102 cases of cholera in Sudan, a country suffering from a severe deterioration in its health sector due to the war that has been raging for over three years between the army and the Rapid Support Forces. This current cholera wave is the third in three years, occurring just two months after the declaration that a previous outbreak had ended last March.

Government figures indicate that more than 124,400 people contracted cholera, and 3,500 died during the most recent wave between July 2024 and last March. Shible Sahbani, the WHO representative in Sudan, stated, "Cholera cycles in Northeast Africa used to occur every three years, but Sudan is currently facing a near-continuous outbreak due to the conflict, restrictions

on access to certain areas, and supply shortages.” (The New Arab).

WHO reports state that most hospitals in Sudan are either fully or partially out of service. Sahbani noted that “40% of health facilities are not functioning at all, and about 60% are only partially operational—meaning they provide limited or inadequate services to patients.”

The catastrophic conditions facing the people of Sudan stem not only from the devastating war instigated by the United States but also from a deepening crisis and systemic failures in state healthcare. Organizations have issued repeated appeals, warning of health disasters in the country; the WHO has launched a vaccination campaign against the cholera epidemic, which threatens the lives of thousands of people, particularly children. Hala Khaddari, Deputy WHO Representative in Sudan, stated that “the cholera epidemic, which began spreading in Kassala State in late July 2024, has extended to all 18 of the country's states.” She confirmed that over 113,600 cases and more than 3,000 deaths have been recorded to date—an alarming mortality rate (WHO website). Yet, these warnings have fallen on deaf ears.

A report by Al Jazeera Arabic from February 1, 2026, noted the spread of cholera across more than 18 states, with approximately 3,500 deaths recorded. This is in addition to dengue fever, which claimed 1,084 lives, and over 2.7 million recorded cases of malaria, alongside a worsening hunger and malnutrition crisis.

On August 3, 2025, the UN website reported—citing a UNICEF press release—that the five Darfur states had recorded a total of nearly 2,140 cholera cases and at least 80 deaths by July 30. Beyond cholera, the lives of more than 640,000 children under

the age of five are at heightened risk from violence, disease, and hunger.

On August 19, 2025, Doctors Without Borders (Médecins Sans Frontières or MSF) highlighted in a report that cholera was spreading rapidly in the Darfur region, resulting in 40 deaths in a single week. MSF teams reported treating over 2,300 cholera patients during the preceding seven days. Amidst a senseless war between two generals serving America's agenda in Sudan, the spread of cholera has compounded the suffering of the Sudanese people—adding insult to injury. The healthcare system has faced repeated attacks throughout the conflict, targeting hospitals, ambulances, and healthcare providers; this has resulted in the destruction of facilities and equipment, as well as numerous deaths and injuries among health workers and even patients—such as the incident at Al-Fashir Hospital. Over a period of two and a half years, the World Health Organization recorded approximately 2,002 attacks on the health sector, resulting in the deaths of 1,883 healthcare workers.

Despite these catastrophes, the government downplays and trivializes the situation, even denying the very existence of the disease. On March 3, 2026, the Federal Ministry of Health announced on its website that the country was free of the cholera epidemic, asserting that no cases had been recorded in any state since January 14. The government has gone even further in attempting to evade its responsibilities toward the public; Minni Arko Minnawi, the Governor of Darfur (currently based in Port Sudan), stated that the outbreak is concentrated largely in areas occupied by the Rapid Support Forces (RSF). In a statement to the Sudan Now program on DW Arabic, Minnawi said, "Cholera patients live outside areas where government

services are available; most reside in regions controlled by the Rapid Support Forces, which are unable to provide services there.”

Islam views healthcare as effective, continuous care that fulfills the ideal state for the individual and society—specifically, satisfying the individual's need for physical and emotional well-being. Shariah Law placed the responsibility for healthcare directly upon the State and the Khaleefah (Caliph); the Prophet ﷺ said, «الإِمَامُ رَاعٍ وَمَسْئُولٌ عَنْ رَعِيَّتِهِ» **“The Imam is a guardian and is responsible for his subjects.”** The current situation in Sudan—characterized by the outbreak of epidemics and the absence of the state—is a danger signal that cannot be ignored. What is required is not merely the provision of medicine, but the establishment of a genuine state of guardianship that prioritizes human life and addresses the root causes of the crisis rather than just its symptoms—a state that recognizes the value of the human being and human life. The only state capable of effectively addressing healthcare issues through its implemented health system is the Second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood, which will—by the permission of Allah ﷻ—be established soon.

Worsening Situation in Mali

By: Ahmed Al-Khatwani



Mali is witnessing a significant escalation following a series of coordinated attacks launched by Azawadi fighters and the Al-Qaeda-affiliated Jama'at Nasr al-Islam wal-Muslimin (JNIM) against Malian army positions—positions supported by the Russian “Africa Corps (Африканский корпус)” (formerly the Wagner Group).

The Azawadi fighters represent the Popular Front for the Liberation of Azawad, a group predominantly composed of Tuareg people—inhabitants of northern Mali, Niger, and the Sahara Desert. They are supported and allied with Al-Qaeda-linked Islamist groups; these groups fully coordinate their attacks with the Tuareg to seize control of vast areas in northern Mali.

In alliance with JNIM, the Popular Front succeeded in capturing the city of Kidal. These coordinated attacks also targeted five

other strategic locations: Gao, Aguelhok, and Anéfis in the north; Sévaré in the center; and the vital Kéniérouba prison complex near the capital, Bamako—which has now come within firing range. The invading forces also succeeded in killing Mali’s Defense Minister after targeting his residence in Kati, an event that exposed the fragility and weakness of the Malian state.

For its part, the Malian army announced that it had repelled the attacks using drones and with the assistance of the Russian Africa Corps personnel. The army claimed to have regained control of the situation in most of the areas that came under attack and asserted that it had inflicted heavy losses on the assailants. The government of Mali—which achieved remarkable success in ousting France after a long period of colonial rule, forging alliances with Burkina Faso and Niger, and forming an active trilateral political bloc to counter French influence in Africa—now finds itself faltering in its control over its own domestic territory. It is losing most of the Azawad region, failing to unify its people, and unable to assert independence over its lands.

The balance of power appears to be shifting in favor of the opposition forces—specifically the Azawad Popular Front and its allied Islamist group. Russian forces supporting the Malian state have failed to halt the opposition's advance, and it seems the government is on the verge of permanently losing the Azawad region.

Mali’s loss of Azawad and other significant territories stems from the state’s lack of a unifying, ideological vision capable of bringing together its diverse ethnic groups. Furthermore, shaking off French colonialism did not grant the government a blank check from its people—especially since it has merely swapped one colonizer for another. The Russian Africa Corps is no better than

the French colonialists; it is an army of murderers and mercenaries. Given this reality, how can the Malian state expect its people to accept an alliance with such a force?

Moreover, France, the United States, the United Kingdom, and their proxies in Africa have no desire to assist the “new” Mali, as it seeks to expel Western influence and replace it with a Russian presence.

Thus, Mali finds itself in a dire predicament. It is unable to assert control over its territory on its own, and Russian forces have failed to assist it in doing so; indeed, France and Western states have likely gloated over its plight, leaving it in an unenviable position.

If the current situation persists, Mali may well split into two states: one for the Tuareg and Azawad people in the north, and another for the African population in the south.

Neither Mali nor any other state will truly free itself from ethnic strife and foreign influence unless it adopts Islam as both its belief and political system; otherwise, it will remain trapped in a vicious cycle of protracted ethnic and racial conflict. Furthermore, ousting French influence will yield no real benefit as long as the country remains caught between competing nationalist and secular-statist ideologies.

The only solution for Mali is to transform into a genuine Islamic state where Malians, Tuareg, and Africans coexist, unified by Islam and the Islamic Aqeedah (doctrine). Subsequently, other African states could unify with it to form a single, powerful state in which all ethnic groups are fused together within the melting pot of Islam.

No Security, Peace, or Stability Without the Complete Liberation of Palestine

For more than a year, voices within the Jewish entity have repeatedly called upon political leaders, thinkers, and analysts to prepare for confrontation with Egypt and Türkiye, describing them as the next major threat. For more than two years, calls for “Greater Israel” and a state extending “between the two rivers” have continued to gain momentum within political circles. The Jewish Prime Minister, Netanyahu, even stated that he feels he is on a “historic and spiritual mission” and remains firmly committed to the vision of “Greater Israel,” which includes the Palestinian territories and “perhaps also areas of Jordan and Egypt.”

Meanwhile, Egypt’s President Abdel Fattah El-Sisi, speaking at the inauguration of the State Strategic Command Headquarters while wearing military uniform, declared, “The fundamental solution to the conflicts in the Middle East lies in reaching a comprehensive and just peace agreement that ends the Palestinian issue and establishes a Palestinian state with East Jerusalem as its capital, in accordance with international legitimacy resolutions.”

Ar-Rayah: Some rulers of Muslims continue to believe that by signing peace and normalization agreements with the Jewish entity they will secure its approval and that of America behind it thereby preserving their rule. However, recent events have proven their assumptions and calculations to be false. The ambitions of the Jewish entity know no limits, and its corruption has no bounds.

Since the establishment of its usurping Jewish entity on the Blessed Land of Palestine, it has spread corruption and destruction throughout the region killing, massacring, occupying, and forcibly displacing people, moving from one war to another. Neither Egypt, Syria, Lebanon, nor Jordan has been spared from its aggression, in addition to its occupation of Palestine and the Golan Heights. It has also carried out attacks against Yemen, Iraq, and Iran, while issuing threats against Egypt and Türkiye.

Therefore, there can be no peace, security, or stability in the region as long as the Jewish entity continues to exist. The fundamental solution is for the armies of the Muslims to move to liberate Palestine and every inch of Muslim land occupied by the Jews. As for calls for peace based on the resolutions of the international order, they amount to calls for the continuation of the occupation and the abandonment of the land of Al-Isra, the destination of the Night Journey of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.

O Armed Forces of Pakistan: Your Mission Is Not to Obey and Serve America



O Army of Pakistan: When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ established the state in Al-Madinah, despite it being only a small city-state, he did not choose alliance with either of the two major powers of the time—Rome or Persia—but challenged them both. Ultimately, the Khulafa'a (Caliphs) after the Messenger of Allah ﷺ defeated those two major powers decisively in the Battles of Yarmouk, al-Qadisiyyah, Nahavand, and Ajnadayn. Thus, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ clearly showed us the path. We are an Ummah entrusted with a great mission in this world. Our mission is not to obey major powers and render services to them in exchange for certain economic or military concessions. Allah ﷻ says, ﴿هُوَ الَّذِي أَرْسَلَ رَسُولَهُ بِالْهُدَىٰ وَدِينِ الْحَقِّ لِيُظْهِرَهُ عَلَى الدِّينِ كُلِّهِ وَلَوْ كَرِهَ الْمُشْرِكُونَ﴾ "It is He Who sent His Messenger with guidance and the Deen of Truth so that He may make it prevail over every

other way of life, even though the polytheists detest it.” [TMQ Surah At-Tawbah: 33]. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, « لَا تَسْتَضِيئُوا بِنَارِ الْمُشْرِكِينَ » **“Do not seek light from the fire of the polytheists.”** [Sunan an-Nasa'i]. Here, “fire” is a kinayah (كناية metonym) for war. It is a Shariah ruling that Muslims, as a collective body, are not permitted to seek military assistance from the disbelievers where the disbelievers retain a distinct identity as a separate group.

If relatively weak military groups such as the Taliban or the Mujahideen of Gaza can fight the significant military powers of the disbelievers, then what is preventing you, O armed forces of Pakistan, from expelling the United States from this region?

Surely, it is the limited vision of your leadership that has committed you to serving the major powers and has prevented you from fulfilling the great mission that Allah ﷻ has made obligatory upon you—a mission for which you are fully capable and deserving. Only by granting nussrah (نصرة military support) to Hizb ut Tahrir can you establish the Khilafah (Caliphate), which is the most essential link in achieving this objective. So mobilize and establish the Khilafah upon the method of the Prophethood. Global circumstances are fully conducive to change, and above all, the help of Allah ﷻ will be with you.

The Jews Are Occupiers of Muslim Land, and Their Entity Has No Legitimacy There



Whoever believes that normalization with the Jews will cause the Islamic Ummah to forget that Palestine is Islamic land is deluded. The Ummah teaches its children from an early age that the liberation of Palestine is a trust upon their shoulders, and that those who will liberate it are the soldiers whom Allah ﷻ has singled out from among the Ummah in His saying ﴿عِبَادًا لَّنَا أُولِي بَأْسٍ شَدِيدٍ﴾ **“some of Our servants of great might.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Isra 5]

As for those whose hearts have been overcome by despair and who now follow America and its protégé out of fear for their worldly interests, we remind them of the words of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ who said, «يَأْتِي عَلَى النَّاسِ زَمَانٌ يُخَيَّرُ فِيهِ الرَّجُلُ بَيْنَ الْعَجْزِ وَالْفُجُورِ، فَمَنْ أَدْرَكَ ذَلِكَ الزَّمَانَ فَلْيُخَيَّرِ الْعَجْزَ عَلَى الْفُجُورِ» **“A time will come upon the people when a man will be given the choice**

between helplessness and wickedness. Whoever lives to see that time should choose helplessness over wickedness.”

The Jewish entity, backed by America, seeks to have every Muslim country recognize its existence, after which negotiations would begin over borders and other details matters that would not alter the reality of that recognition. In doing so, they would achieve through agreements what they failed to accomplish by force, and those who facilitate this would have their names recorded among the traitors who abandoned Palestine and sold it to the enemies of the Ummah.

The Jewish entity is an occupying entity on Muslim land. It possesses neither legitimacy nor any rightful place in the lands of the Muslims. Delivering the Ummah from it and from its evils is the responsibility of all the armies of the Ummah. To them we call with the words of Allah ﷻ Who said, ﴿يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مَا لَكُمْ إِذَا قِيلَ ۖ لَكُمْ انْفِرُوا فِي سَبِيلِ اللَّهِ أَتَأْخُذْتُمْ إِلَى الْأَرْضِ أَرْضَيْتُمْ بِالْحَيَاةِ الدُّنْيَا مِنَ الْآخِرَةِ فَمَا لَكُمْ تَأْخُذُوا ۚ وَمَا يَذَّكَّرُ إِلَّأَ أَغْلَاقٌ ۚ﴾ **"O believers! What is the matter with you that when you are asked to march forth in the cause of Allah, you cling firmly to your land? Do you prefer the life of this world over the Hereafter? The enjoyment of this worldly life is insignificant compared to that of the Hereafter."** [TMQ Surah At-Tawbah 38].